IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.

Contempt Petition No. 65 of 2007
with
Contempt Petition No. 66 of 2007
in
Notice of Motion No. 698 of 2005
in
Writ Petition No.910 of 2005

Ranjanben Ramniklal Sawla ..Petitioner

vs.

1. R.K.Khotkar and others ..Respondents

Mr.K.R.Tiwari for petitioner in both petitions
Mr.G.W.Mattows, A.G.P. for respondent in both petitions.

CORAM: S.C.DHARMADHIKARI J
10th October,2007

P.C.

1. These petitions are alleging violation of the order passed by this Court in writ petition filed by the contempt petitioners in this Court. Mr Tiwari, appearing for them does not dispute that the writ petition is also disposed of, however, his complaint is that this Court had directed that no steps be taken for demolition of the premises which are in possession and occupation of the petitioners, yet, the same were demolished and the petitioners were dispossessed.

2. Mr.Mattows denies all these allegations and

submits that the petitioners were shifted in pursuance of the scheme to rehabilitate in accordance with the relevant statutory provisions and regulations. The petitioners were held eligible for permanent alternative accommodation. For implementing the scheme enough powers are conferred by law on the respondents and those unwilling and not cooperating can be removed with such force as is permissible in law. I do not wish to enter into this controversy in as much as the contempt petition is not a remedy for resolving the same.

3. Mr.Tiwari, after taking instructions from the petitioners, makes a statement that the petitioners would approach the authorities with fresh representation seeking their assistance in allotment of suitable premises as per entitlement of the petitioners. According to Mr.Tiwari, the petitioners are entitled to commercial premises.

4. I do not wish to enter into this controversy either. The petitioners are at liberty to approach the authorities by making the representation and the authorities to consider the same and pass appropriate orders thereon in accordance with law. Such representation, if made within a period of two

weeks from today, the same shall be disposed of by the authorities within 8 weeks from the date of receipt thereof and within this period the order passed shall also be communicated to the petitioners. Both the contempt petitions are disposed of accordingly.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI J.)